SINGLE BENCH

(3) (5)

CF 2002

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION (S) NO. 242 /2007

PETITIONERS

1. Sunder Lal Patel S/o shri Sujan Lal Patel, aged about 41 years, Assistant Teacher posted at Govt. Middle School Kiritmal, Block Kharsiya, Dist. Raigarh (C.G.)
2. Chandrika Singh Patel S/o shri Uasat Ram Patel, aged about 48 years, Assistant Teacher posted at Govt. primary School Nawapara (East) Bhupdevpur Block Kharsiya, Dist. Raigarh (C.G.)

P.R. No. 16/5/07
Presented on 5/11/07
dated

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh, through Secretary, Education Department, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)
2. Commissioner, Tribal Welfare Department Raipur (C.G.)
3. Assistant Commissioner Tribal Welfare Department Head Office Raigarh, District Raigarh (C.G.)
4. District Coordinator, Tribal Welfare Department Head Office Raigarh Dist. Raigarh (C.G.)

RECEIVED-COPY
5/11/07
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/~~227~~ OF THE COUNSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN NATURE OF CEERTIORARI, MANDAMUS, PROHIBITION AND OTHER SUITABLE WRIT OR WRITS, DIRECTION OR DIRECTIONS, ORDER OR ORDERES:

XI-HC-78

(28)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

W.P.(S) No. 262/07

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	19-1-2007 **Mr. R.S.Patel, Mr. Ravi Maheshwari, Mr. Rahul Jha and Mr. Rajendra Tripathi, counsel for the petitioner(s).** **Mr. Sushil Dubey, Government Advocate and Mr. Satish Gupta, Deputy Government Advocate for the State/respondents.** **With the consent of learned counsel appearing for the parties, the matter is heard finally and disposed of.** **Common order dictated in Writ Petition (S.) No.262 of 2007 in open Court, passed separately.** **A copy of this order be placed on the record of the connected writ petitions.** **Sd/-** **Satish K. Agnihotri** **Judge**	

Gopal

P.T.O.

(29)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE MR. SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.262 OF 2007

Petitioners : Sunder Lal Patel and another

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.263 OF 2007

Petitioner : Puna Ram Sahu

versus

Respondents : State Govt. of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.264 OF 2007

Petitioner : Ram Kumar Salame

versus

Respondents : State Govt. of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.265 OF 2007

Petitioner : Hemant Kumar Salame

Versus

Respondents : State Govt. of Chhattisgarh and others

(30)

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.266 OF 2007

Petitioner : Budhnath Sai Paikra

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.267 OF 2007

Petitioner : Madan Lal Sahu

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.269 OF 2007

Petitioner : Pitamber Patel

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.270 OF 2007

Petitioner : Trinath Choudhary

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

(31)

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.281 OF 2007

Petitioner : Smt. Deepti Agrawal

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

Present : Mr. R.S.Patel, Mr. Ravi Maheshwari, Mr. Rahul Jha and Mr. Rajendra Tripathi, counsel for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Sushil Dubey, Government Advocate and Mr. Satish Gupta, Deputy Government Advocate for the State/respondents.

ORDER

(Passed on 19th Day of January, 2007)

Learned counsel appearing for the parties jointly submit that the facts and question of law involved in the present batch of writ petitions are squarely covered by the decision of this Court in the case of ***Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj*** vs. ***Municipal Corporation, Durg and another***[1] .

2. In subsequent cases, i.e., Writ Petition (S.) No.4130 of 2005 (***Gopesh Kumar Verma*** vs. ***The State Govt. of Chhattisgarh and another***) and other connected matters, decided by this Court on 15-12-2006, pursuant to the memo dated 28-1-1995, issued by the Secretary, Board of Secondary Education, Bhopal and the prospectus of Diploma in Education Examination, it was clarified that the nomenclature of the Basic Training Institute, i.e., B.T.I. has been

(32)

changed and renamed as Diploma in Education, i.e., D.Ed. Accordingly, the D.Ed. Certificate holders are also covered by the decision in Writ Petition (S.) No.4130 of 2005 (*Gopesh Kumar Verma* vs. *The State Govt. of Chhattisgarh and another*) (Supra).

3. For the aforementioned reasons, the writ petitions are disposed of in terms of the decision of this Court in the matter of *Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj* (Supra), subject to verification of the fact as to whether the petitioners have acquired B.Ed./B.T.I./D.Ed. Certificates at their own expenses as per the circulars dated 24-12-1998 and 1-3-1999 and further whether they have been paid two advance increments or not. No order as to costs.

4. A copy of this order be placed on the record of the connected writ petitions.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

---

1 2006 (II) MPJR-CG 96

Gopal